

## REMARKS

Claims 1-26 are pending and under consideration. In the non-final Office Action of October 5, 2007, the Examiner rejected claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *England* (U.S. 6,144,991). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection and address the Examiner's disposition below. Claims 1, 7, 11, 17, 18, 21, and 24 have been amended.

Independent claims 1, 7, 11, 17, 18, 21, and 24, each as amended, each claim subject matter relating to a media stream that is part of an interactive presentation having interactive elements that a user is able to interact with during playback of the interactive presentation. A note of the media stream is edited while retaining the synchronization of the note at the particular time in the media stream.

This is clearly unlike *England*, which fails to disclose or suggest a media stream that is part of an interactive presentation having interactive elements that a user interacts with during playback of the interactive presentation. *England* teaches a system in which a guide can record an instructional session for later playback to clients. *England*, Abstract. Nowhere does *England* teach or suggest that its played back session is an interactive presentation having interactive elements that a user interacts with during playback of the interactive presentation. Instead, *England* merely describes a fixed presentation, which can be played back at a later time, and which is not interactive. *England's* user can merely start, stop, seek, loop, or delete the session. *England* 22:39-42. *England's* played back session does not include interactive elements that a user interacts with during playback of the presentation.

Therefore, *England* fails to disclose or suggest claims 1, 7, 11, 17, 18, 21, and 24.


Claims 2-6, 8-10, 12-16, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, and 26 depend directly or indirectly from claims 1, 7, 11, 17, 18, 21, and 24 and are therefore allowable for at least the same reasons that claims 1, 7, 11, 17, 18, 21, and 24 are allowable.

Applicants respectfully submit the rejection has been overcome and request that it be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that claims 1-26 are patentable. It is therefore submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

 (Reg. No. 45,034)  
Christopher P. Rauch  
SONNENSCHN EINH & ROSENTHAL LLP  
P. O. Box 061080, Wacker Drive Station - Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080  
Telephone (312) 876 8000  
Customer No. 26263